

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b> 10/767,180	<b>Applicant(s)</b> ISHIKAWA ET AL.	
	<b>Examiner</b> Surekha Vathyam	<b>Art Unit</b> 1795	

**All Participants:**

(1) Surekha Vathyam.

(2) Dion Ferguson.

**Status of Application: Pending**

(3) \_\_\_\_\_.

(4) \_\_\_\_\_.

**Date of Interview: 6 November 2007**

**Time: 9:10 am**

**Type of Interview:**

- Telephonic  
 Video Conference  
 Personal (Copy given to:  Applicant  Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated:  Yes  No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

**Part I.**

Rejection(s) discussed:

*Not discussed*

Claims discussed:

*1, 3, 5-9, 12-16, 18-24*

Prior art documents discussed:

*Not discussed*

**Part II.**

**SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:**

*See Continuation Sheet*

**Part III.**

- It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.  
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.



(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)